

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

4 vs.

CR-11-1013-TUC-RCC

5 GHERMON LATEKE TUCKER, et al.,

6  
7                   Defendants.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

---

August 20, 2012  
Tucson, Arizona

13 JURY TRIAL

14 DAY NINE

15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANER C. COLLINS  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Court Reporter:           Erica R. Grund, RDR, CRR  
23                           Official Court Reporter  
24                           405 W. Congress Street  
                              Tucson, Arizona 85701

25       Proceedings prepared by computerized realtime  
         translation

## A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Government: James T. Lacey  
Kimberly E. Hopkins  
Assistant U.S. Attorneys

For Ghermon Tucker: Dan Cooper  
Cooper & Udall PC

For Jerome Ranger: Stephen Jonathan Young  
Williamson & Young

For Ja'Cory Ranger: Bradley James Armstrong  
Armstrong Law Office

## 1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE COURT: Go on the record. Show the  
3 absence of the defendants, that the defendants each  
4 waived their presence in court on Friday  
5 afternoon.

6 Show that the Court and counsel have been  
7 discussing the instructions. Mr. Cooper requested  
8 a withdrawal instruction, so the Court agreed to  
9 give 8.24. He also requested a mere presence  
10 instruction. The Court agreed to give 6.10.

11 They also requested that I modify the  
12 instruction specifically to Mr. Jorge --  
13 Mr. Gutierrez because he received benefits in the  
14 case, and the Court agreed to modify it in a  
15 similar way as to the one for Mr. Medina.

16 Mr. Young asked for an entrapment  
17 instruction but he acknowledged that the facts of  
18 this case and the Ninth Circuit case law would not  
19 normally permit him to get that particular  
20 instruction, but he wanted to make sure that it was  
21 made for the record that he had requested the same.

22 The Court and counsel also discussed the  
23 forms of verdict and agreed that the form of  
24 verdict should read less than five kilograms, five  
25 or more kilograms, 50 kilograms or more, or 65

1 kilograms, depending on which version of the facts  
2 the jury decides to accept.

3 And Mr. Lacey asked for an aiding and  
4 abetting instruction. He had charged aiding  
5 abetting in Counts 3 and 4, which is being given  
6 over Mr. Cooper's strong objection.

7 MR. COOPER: Strong objection.

8 THE COURT: Any further record to make,  
9 Mr. Lacey.

10 MR. LACEY: Not as to the jury  
11 instructions but something else, when you're  
12 finished.

13 THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Cooper?

14 MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Armstrong?

16 MR. ARMSTRONG: No, thank you.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Young?

18 MR. YOUNG: Well, as I'm looking at this,  
19 Your Honor, I think I'm seeing a multiplicity  
20 problem. I get that confused with duplicity, but I  
21 think multiplicity is the one where there is one  
22 offense that's charged in two different counts, and  
23 I'm seeing a multiplicity problem as to Counts 3  
24 and 4.

25 They both have identical elements, but

1 they have two different ways of proving the element  
2 of a drug trafficking offense, so what I'm seeing  
3 is a different method of proof and not necessarily  
4 a different element. In one count the drug  
5 trafficking offense is proven with a conspiracy.  
6 In the other it's proven with an attempted  
7 possession.

8 What that does, it does ensure jury  
9 unanimity on the method of proof, but I don't think  
10 that we have to have unanimity on the method of  
11 proof as to the drug trafficking offense. It's not  
12 necessary. All there has to be is unanimity on the  
13 element of drug trafficking offense.

14 THE COURT: I think I follow you, except  
15 that the Government has charged two specific  
16 crimes. The two specific crimes are conspiracy  
17 which stands alone, they could have charged  
18 conspiracy in this case; and they also charged  
19 attempted possession of cocaine, which could have  
20 stood by itself too.

21 And if you're going to do that, it seems  
22 to make sense that the firearms allegation has to  
23 be attached to the specific counts rather than just  
24 one firearm allegation.

25 MR. LACEY: It does and there's case law

1 we can get if the Court wants to support that.

2 MR. YOUNG: I would say that they are  
3 certainly two different ways that they could  
4 support the element of drug trafficking offense.  
5 They've supported it two different ways.

6 It looks a lot like if there were a felony  
7 murder charge predicated on a robbery and  
8 predicated on a kidnapping. There's still only one  
9 dead body. There is still only one felony murder  
10 at the end of the day. There is just two different  
11 predicate felonies, and this looks like just two  
12 different predicate felonies to me.

13 THE COURT: Okay. You've made your  
14 record.

15 Do you want to talk more about the  
16 entrapment?

17 MR. YOUNG: With respect to the  
18 entrapment, there is a split in the circuits, and  
19 the Ninth Circuit has decided that it will not  
20 allow a derivative entrapment defense even where a  
21 specific person is targeted, and it seemed to me  
22 that the informant in this case was specifically  
23 targeting the blacks.

24 So what I'm requesting is contrary to the  
25 Ninth Circuit case law. Some of the other circuits

1 have gone in a different direction than that, in  
2 fact, I think all of the circuits that I was able  
3 to find.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 MR. COOPER: I'll join both of the  
6 objections.

7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Same here. Thank you.

8 MR. LACEY: And Judge, as far as the  
9 entrapment, I would direct the Court to U.S. v.  
10 Spentz, S-p-e-n-t-z, a Ninth Circuit case from  
11 February 2011, and the citation is 653 F.3d 815,  
12 and that says the defense, that entrapment is not  
13 applicable given the facts of the case there, which  
14 are close to being identical to here, where you  
15 have an undercover going down the path he went  
16 down.

17 But anyway, that case addresses right on  
18 point the issue Mr. Young's raising and says that  
19 he's wrong.

20 THE COURT: I forgot one instruction.  
21 There was an instruction requested by Mr. Young or  
22 Mr. Cooper with regard to the prior conviction of  
23 witness Medina, and it reads, "You have heard  
24 evidence that the witness has previously been  
25 convicted of a crime. You may consider this

1 evidence only as it may affect their believability  
2 as a witness," and I'll give that one.

3 Mr. Lacey you wanted to put something on  
4 the record at this point?

5 MR. LACEY: Yes, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Can you hear?

7 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir.

8 MR. LACEY: I usually have a pretty loud  
9 voice.

10 Your Honor, I made counsel aware and  
11 likewise the Court today that Friday afternoon  
12 actually it was Friday evening about 5:30, I was  
13 advised by agent Edwards that one of his FBI agents  
14 had been in court last week when Jorge Medina was  
15 testifying. He was the cooperating conspirator in  
16 this case that we called.

17 Agent Loos, L-o-o-s, was an FBI agent who  
18 was in court seated directly behind approximately  
19 four black females and two black males. At some  
20 point during the witness' testimony, Agent Loos  
21 overheard one of the females say, and I quote, "Oh,  
22 man, they're going to kill that guy," closed  
23 quotes.

24 Again, I just want that on the record. We  
25 have a slew of these threats that have popped up

1 during the course of this case, and this is the  
2 most recent one. I just wanted it on the record.

3 That's all.

4 MR. COOPER: Well, just so that if there  
5 is an appellate court that ever hears this, I want  
6 to make it clear Ghermon Tucker had nothing to do  
7 with anybody sitting in the gallery saying anything  
8 since he was sitting here next to me.

9 MR. ARMSTRONG: I'm not sure how to  
10 respond. I don't know -- I don't know whether  
11 they're accusing the Ranger family of this, if  
12 there's any names attached to these threats.

13 THE COURT: There are no names attached to  
14 them.

15 MR. YOUNG: I guess -- are you through?

16 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah, and also obviously  
17 Ja'Cory has been over here behaving himself quite  
18 well throughout the whole trial. He's not been in  
19 communication with his family.

20 MR. YOUNG: The record should reflect that  
21 Mr. Cooper then killed that guy a few minutes  
22 later.

23 MR. LACEY: Anyway, Judge, we've had other  
24 threats we've put on the record --

25 MR. COOPER: Figuratively.

1           MR. LACEY: We've had other threats put on  
2 the record that were attributable to Ghermon  
3 Tucker. Another one, as you know, were directed  
4 towards Ms. Hopkins by Mr. Young's client at some  
5 point early on in the proceedings.

6           So anyway, that should be put on the  
7 record.

8           THE COURT: I'll let the statement be  
9 placed on the record. It could be taken several  
10 different ways. It could be -- I'll leave it at  
11 that. It could be taken different ways. I'm not  
12 sure it was a direct threat, but it's on the  
13 record.

14          MR. LACEY: Okay.

15          THE COURT: And I have a feeling that the  
16 FBI agent's going to have Detective Loos here  
17 tomorrow to see if he can specifically identify  
18 which woman said that. Something tells me that,  
19 but I'm just sort of guessing.

20               (Off the record.)

21          THE COURT: Show on the record that  
22 defense counsel asked for a withdrawal  
23 instruction. The Government pointed out to the  
24 Court that, in the withdrawal instruction itself,  
25 it says that the Court should only give a

1 withdrawal instruction if there's an overt act  
2 required, and the Court said, that may well be what  
3 that says, but in a case like this, someone should  
4 have an opportunity to withdraw from the  
5 conspiracy, and the Court is going to nevertheless  
6 give the withdrawal instruction, to which Mr. Lacey  
7 had a very mild objection.

8 MR. LACEY: If that.

9 THE COURT: If that.

10 MR. LACEY: After you convinced me.

11 (Proceedings concluded in this matter.)  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Erica R. Grund, do hereby certify that I took the machine shorthand notes in the foregoing matter; that the same was transcribed via computer-aided transcription; that the preceding pages of typewritten matter are a true, correct, and complete transcription of those proceedings ordered, to the best of my skill and ability.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 2013.

s/Erica R. Grund  
Erica R. Grund, RDR, CRR  
Official Court Reporter